

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/054,603 01/22/2002 Norbert Kreutz В06090-Е 9324 7590 EXAMINER 12/03/2004 The Gillette Company DAWSON, GLENN K Patent Department ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Prudential Tower Building Boston, MA 02199 3731

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		0
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/054,603	KREUTZ ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Glenn K Dawson	3731
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI e, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 N</u>	<u> 1arch 2004</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	•	· •
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 4 53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 24-34 and 37-52 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 24-34,37 and 39-51 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 38 and 52 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		···
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	,	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kammer. Note the attached	Office Action of form P10-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	5	•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap crity documents have been re	plication No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.
244		
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Motice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -

Application/Control Number: 10/054,603

Art Unit: 3731

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 38 and 52 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 36 and 40 of U.S. Patent No. 6,083,233 in view of DeMeester-4, 960,422.

The claims of the patent claim (or would include due to inherency) all of the claimed elements of the application claims with the exception of the control means. DeMeester discloses an equivalent control means for a depilation device for actuating tweezer blades to pinch upon adjacent other blades to trap therebetween and pull out the hairs upon sufficient rotation of the tweezer roller. It would have been obvious to have provided the device claimed in the patent with the control means of DeMeester, as this has been shown to be an effective means by which to cause the necessary relative movement between adjacent tweezers to trap and pull out hair therewith.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 24-34,37 and 39-51 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,603

Art Unit: 3731

Interference

Claims 38 and 52 of this application have been copied by the applicant from U. S. Patent No. 6176862. This claim is not patentable to the applicant because it is rejectable under obvious double patenting as noted above.

An interference cannot be initiated since a prerequisite for interference under 37 CFR 1.606 is that the claim be patentable to the applicant subject to a judgment in the interference.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 38 and 52 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K Dawson whose telephone number is 703-308-4304. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-2154. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,603

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Glenn K Dawson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

Gkd 25 November 2004